



The Author(s). Published by Global Insight Publishing Ltd, USA.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

A Qualitative Study on the Determinants and Optimization Strategies for Faculty Engagement in University Governance

Zhang Yuan¹

Abstract: This qualitative study investigates the determinants and optimization strategies for faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University, China. Using in-depth interviews with 30 participants, including 10 university officials and 20 faculty members, the research identifies key organizational, cultural, and individual factors influencing faculty participation. Findings highlight the importance of clear governance structures, institutional support, manageable workloads, a supportive institutional culture, positive leadership attitudes, and recognition of faculty contributions. The study proposes several optimization strategies, such as enhancing governance transparency, strengthening support systems, managing workloads effectively, fostering a collaborative culture, recognizing and rewarding participation, and improving communication channels. These strategies aim to create an environment that encourages active faculty involvement in governance, ultimately leading to more effective decision-making processes and improved institutional outcomes. The implications for university governance and the broader academic community are discussed, along with the study's limitations and suggestions for future research.

Keywords: Faculty engagement, university governance, organizational factors, cultural factors, individual factors, institutional support, workload management

I. Introduction

1.1 Research Background

Faculty engagement in university governance has long been recognized as a crucial element in the effective management and academic success of higher education institutions worldwide. According to Kezar and Holcombe (2017), active participation of faculty members in decision-making processes enhances the overall governance structure, fostering a sense of ownership and accountability. Globally, universities have adopted various models of governance, ranging from centralized to more decentralized systems, each with varying levels of faculty involvement (Altbach, 2015). In the United States, for example, shared governance is a widely adopted model, emphasizing collaboration between faculty, administration, and other stakeholders (Gerber, 2020). This model promotes transparency and inclusivity, leading to more informed and democratic decision-making processes. Similarly, European universities, particularly in countries like Germany and Sweden, have institutionalized faculty participation in governance through academic senates and councils, ensuring that faculty voices are integral to strategic planning and policy development (Kehm, 2018).

¹ Weifang University of Science and Technology, ShouGuang, China, Email : zhangyuan119@126.com

In Asia, the landscape of university governance is diverse, reflecting the varying political, cultural, and educational contexts of the region. In Japan and South Korea, university governance has traditionally been more centralized, with significant control exerted by governmental bodies. However, recent reforms have aimed to decentralize governance structures, thereby increasing faculty involvement (Postiglione, 2017). In China, the governance of higher education institutions has undergone substantial reforms over the past few decades. Historically characterized by strict government control, there has been a gradual shift towards more autonomy and faculty participation. This shift aligns with China's broader educational reforms aimed at improving the quality and global competitiveness of its universities (Yang, 2018).

Shandong XX University, approved by the Ministry of Education, is a full-time application-oriented undergraduate institution in Shandong Province, China. It is a designated institution for master's degree authorization, a pioneer in the construction of application-oriented undergraduate institutions in Shandong Province, and a pilot institution for targeted military sergeant training. As of May 2023, the university spans 2400 acres, with 14 secondary colleges offering 63 undergraduate and associate degree programs. The university hosts over 35,000 students and employs more than 2,400 staff members. The university is a pilot institution in the Weifang National Vocational Education Innovation Development Experimental Zone and a pilot for the direct recruitment of military officers. It is also involved in the reform pilot program for the cultivation of outstanding agricultural and forestry talents.

Despite these institutional advancements and a supportive infrastructure, challenges remain in achieving meaningful faculty engagement in governance. While formal structures for faculty participation exist, actual engagement levels vary significantly. Factors such as hierarchical organizational culture, workload pressures, and limited training on governance roles are cited as barriers to effective participation. Addressing these issues is crucial for optimizing faculty engagement and realizing the full potential of shared governance at Weifang University of Science and Technology.

1.2 Research Problem and Questions

Despite the institutional efforts to include faculty in governance at Shandong XX University, challenges persist in achieving meaningful engagement. Factors such as hierarchical organizational culture, administrative workload, and a lack of training in governance roles can impede effective faculty participation (Li & Chen, 2021). Understanding the determinants of faculty engagement and identifying strategies to optimize their involvement are essential for improving governance outcomes.

This study seeks to address the following research questions:

- What are the key determinants that influence faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University?
- How do faculty members and university officials perceive the current state of faculty engagement in governance?
- What are the barriers to effective faculty participation in governance at Shandong XX University?
- What strategies can be implemented to optimize faculty engagement in university governance?

1.3 Significance of the Study

This study is significant for several reasons. Firstly, it contributes to the existing body of literature on university governance by providing empirical insights from a Chinese university context, which is underrepresented in the global discourse (Altbach, 2015). Secondly, it addresses a critical aspect of higher education management—faculty engagement—that has direct implications for the quality of decision-making and institutional performance. Enhanced faculty participation can lead to more informed and democratic governance, ultimately benefiting the academic community and student outcomes. The findings of this study can inform policy and practice at Shandong XX University

and other similar institutions undergoing governance reforms. By identifying the determinants and barriers of faculty engagement, as well as proposing practical optimization strategies, this research can aid university administrators in designing more effective governance frameworks that leverage the expertise and insights of faculty members.

1.4 Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

- To identify the key determinants that influence faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University.
- To explore the perceptions of faculty members and university officials regarding the current state of faculty engagement in governance.
- To examine the barriers that hinder effective faculty participation in governance at Shandong XX University.
- To propose strategies that can optimize faculty engagement in university governance, drawing on the insights from interviews with faculty members and officials.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical frameworks and models of university governance

University governance refers to the organizational structures, policies, and processes that guide and control the administration and management of higher education institutions (Cai, 2017; Hou et al., 2019). Various theoretical frameworks have been developed to understand and analyze university governance, including agency theory, resource dependence theory, and stakeholder theory (Fumasoli et al., 2015; Paradeise & Thoenig, 2013). These frameworks highlight the complex interplay between different stakeholders, such as administrators, faculty, and external partners, in shaping the decision-making processes and power dynamics within universities (Marini & Reale, 2016; Pinheiro et al., 2018).

2.2 Previous studies on faculty participation in governance

Existing research has examined the role of faculty in university governance and the factors that influence their level of engagement. Studies have shown that faculty participation in governance can enhance institutional performance, foster a sense of ownership and commitment, and promote democratic decision-making (Hou et al., 2019; Neave & Veiga, 2013). However, barriers to faculty engagement, such as workload pressures, lack of incentives, and power imbalances, have also been identified (Aditya et al., 2020; Cai, 2017).

2.3 Factors influencing faculty engagement

The literature suggests that faculty engagement in university governance is influenced by a range of individual, organizational, and contextual factors. These include personal motivations, departmental cultures, institutional policies, and national higher education policies (Fumasoli et al., 2015; Marini & Reale, 2016). Understanding the interplay between these factors is crucial for developing effective strategies to enhance faculty participation in governance (Pinheiro et al., 2018; Ylijoki & Ursin, 2013).

2.4 Optimization strategies in organizational governance

Research on organizational governance has identified various strategies for improving stakeholder engagement and decision-making processes. These include fostering a culture of transparency and collaboration, aligning incentives and rewards with desired behaviors, and promoting continuous feedback and evaluation mechanisms (Aditya et al., 2020; Paradeise & Thoenig, 2013). Applying these strategies in the context of university governance may contribute to enhancing faculty engagement and improving overall institutional performance (Hou et al., 2019; Neave & Veiga, 2013).

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the determinants and optimization strategies for faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University. Qualitative research is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for an in-depth understanding of participants' experiences, perceptions, and insights regarding faculty engagement in governance. By using semi-structured interviews, this study aims to capture the nuanced and context-specific factors that influence faculty participation.

3.1.1 Qualitative Approach Rationale

The rationale for choosing a qualitative approach stems from the need to explore complex social phenomena within their natural settings. Quantitative methods, while useful for measuring the extent of engagement, may not fully capture the underlying reasons and contextual factors that affect faculty participation in governance. A qualitative approach enables the researcher to gain a deeper understanding of the motivations, challenges, and strategies related to faculty engagement, providing rich, descriptive data that can inform practical recommendations for improving governance structures.

3.2 Participants

The participants of this study comprise 30 individuals from Shandong XX University, including 10 university officials and 20 faculty members. The selection criteria for participants include:

University Officials: Individuals holding administrative positions such as deans, department heads, and senior management who are involved in governance and decision-making processes.

Faculty Members: Academic staff from various departments and disciplines, representing different levels of experience and involvement in governance activities. This purposive sampling method ensures that a diverse range of perspectives is included, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing faculty engagement.

3.3 Data Collection

Data collection will be conducted through semi-structured interviews, which provide flexibility to explore specific areas of interest while allowing participants to express their views freely. The interview protocol includes open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed responses about:

- Participants' experiences and perceptions of faculty engagement in governance.
- The determinants that influence their involvement in governance activities.
- The barriers and challenges they face in participating in governance.
- Their suggestions for optimizing faculty engagement in governance.

Interviews will be conducted in a face-to-face format, with each session lasting approximately 60 to 90 minutes. All interviews will be audio-recorded with the participants' consent and subsequently transcribed for analysis.

3.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis will follow a thematic analysis approach, which involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. The process includes the following steps:

- (1) Familiarization: Transcribing interviews, reading and re-reading the transcripts, and noting initial ideas.
- (2) Generating Initial Codes: Systematically coding interesting features of the data across the entire dataset and collating data relevant to each code.
- (3) Searching for Themes: Collating codes into potential themes and gathering all data relevant to each potential theme.
- (4) Reviewing Themes: Checking if the themes work in relation to the coded extracts and the entire dataset, generating a thematic map of the analysis.
- (5) Defining and Naming Themes: Ongoing analysis to refine the specifics of each theme and the overall story the analysis tells, generating clear definitions and names for each theme.
- (6) Producing the Report: Selecting vivid, compelling extract examples, final analysis of selected

extracts, relating the analysis back to the research questions and literature, and producing a scholarly report of the analysis.

4. Findings

4.1 Determinants of Faculty Engagement

Organizational factors significantly impact faculty engagement. The governance structure, including the clarity and transparency of processes and the definition of roles and responsibilities, emerged as a crucial determinant. Faculty members reported that well-defined and transparent governance processes enhance their willingness to participate, while complex or opaque structures deter engagement. Additionally, institutional support systems, such as administrative assistance and professional development opportunities, were identified as essential for encouraging faculty involvement. Faculty members who received adequate support were more likely to engage actively in governance activities. Another important organizational factor is workload management. Many faculty members pointed out that manageable workloads are essential for active participation in governance. Excessive teaching and administrative responsibilities often leave little time for governance activities, thus reducing engagement.

Cultural factors also play a vital role in faculty engagement. The overall culture of the university, including its values and attitudes towards shared governance, significantly influences faculty participation. A culture that values and encourages faculty input fosters higher levels of engagement. Furthermore, a collaborative and collegial environment was frequently mentioned as a positive determinant. Faculty members who experienced strong peer support and teamwork were more likely to participate in governance. Leadership attitudes were another critical cultural factor. The attitudes and behaviors of university leaders, including their openness to faculty input and their commitment to shared governance, were crucial. Positive leadership that actively seeks and values faculty contributions significantly boosts engagement.

Individual factors, such as personal motivation, experience, and recognition, also influence faculty engagement. Individual motivation, driven by personal and professional goals, was a significant determinant. Faculty members motivated by a desire to contribute to the institution's development or to achieve personal career advancement were more engaged in governance. Additionally, faculty members with previous experience in governance roles or specific expertise relevant to governance activities were more likely to participate. This indicates that confidence and competence in governance matters influence engagement levels. Finally, the recognition of faculty contributions to governance through awards, promotions, or other incentives was found to be an important factor. When faculty members feel that their efforts are acknowledged and rewarded, their engagement increases.

4.2 Comparative Analysis of Officials' and Teachers' Perspectives

Both groups agreed on the importance of faculty engagement in governance for the overall effectiveness and quality of decision-making within the university. Additionally, both officials and teachers identified similar barriers to participation, including heavy workloads, lack of time, and inadequate support systems.

However, there were notable divergences in their perspectives. Officials generally believed that the university provides adequate support for faculty engagement, while many teachers felt that the support was insufficient, particularly in terms of administrative assistance and workload management. Similarly, officials tended to view the communication channels between administration and faculty as effective, whereas teachers often expressed frustration with the lack of transparency and insufficient opportunities for meaningful dialogue. Moreover, officials were more likely to believe that existing recognition and reward systems were adequate, while teachers frequently reported that these systems did not sufficiently acknowledge their contributions to

governance.

4.3 Barriers to Faculty Participation

The most commonly cited barrier was the heavy teaching and administrative workload, which leaves little time for governance activities. Faculty members often feel overburdened and unable to commit additional time to governance. Insufficient administrative support and professional development opportunities were also highlighted as significant barriers. Faculty members expressed the need for more resources to facilitate their involvement in governance.

The hierarchical nature of the university's organizational culture was seen as a deterrent to faculty participation. Teachers reported feeling that their voices were not as valued or influential as those of higher-ranking officials. Another major barrier was the lack of adequate incentives and recognition for governance participation. Faculty members indicated that without meaningful rewards or recognition, there is little motivation to engage in governance activities. Additionally, poor communication channels between administration and faculty were frequently mentioned. Faculty members felt that they were not adequately informed about governance processes or decisions and lacked opportunities to provide input.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Key Findings

Organizational factors, such as the clarity of governance structures, institutional support, and workload management, play a pivotal role in shaping faculty engagement. Transparent and well-defined governance processes encourage participation, while support systems and manageable workloads facilitate active involvement. This suggests that improving organizational frameworks and providing adequate support can significantly enhance faculty engagement. Cultural factors, including institutional culture, collegiality, and leadership attitudes, also emerged as crucial determinants. A culture that values faculty input and promotes collaboration fosters higher levels of engagement. Positive leadership that actively seeks and values faculty contributions further boosts participation. These findings indicate that fostering a supportive and inclusive institutional culture is essential for effective faculty engagement in governance.

Individual factors, such as personal motivation, experience, and recognition, influence faculty participation in governance activities. Faculty members motivated by personal and professional goals, those with relevant experience, and those who receive recognition for their contributions are more likely to engage. This underscores the importance of addressing individual motivations and providing appropriate incentives and recognition to encourage faculty involvement.

5.2 Implications for University Governance

Enhancing the clarity and transparency of governance structures can significantly improve faculty engagement. Universities should ensure that governance processes are well-defined and communicated effectively to all faculty members. Providing adequate institutional support and managing faculty workloads are crucial for facilitating active participation. Universities should offer administrative assistance, professional development opportunities, and workload adjustments to enable faculty members to contribute meaningfully to governance activities.

Third, fostering a supportive and inclusive institutional culture is essential. Universities should promote values that encourage faculty input and collaboration, and leaders should demonstrate a commitment to shared governance by actively seeking and valuing faculty contributions.

Finally, addressing individual motivations and providing recognition and rewards for faculty contributions to governance can enhance engagement. Universities should implement systems to acknowledge and reward faculty participation in governance, thereby motivating more faculty members to get involved.

5.4 Limitations of the Study

The study is based on a single case study of Shandong XX University, which may limit the

generalizability of the findings to other institutions. Future research could include multiple universities to provide a broader perspective on faculty engagement in governance. The sample size of 30 participants, although sufficient for a qualitative study, may not capture the full diversity of perspectives within the university. Including a larger and more diverse sample could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing faculty engagement. The study relies on self-reported data from interviews, which may be subject to biases such as social desirability or recall bias. Triangulating interview data with other data sources, such as surveys or institutional documents, could enhance the reliability and validity of the findings.

Despite these limitations, this study offers important contributions to the understanding of faculty engagement in university governance and provides practical recommendations for enhancing participation at Shandong XX University and similar institutions.

6. Optimization Strategies

Based on the findings of this study, several optimization strategies can be implemented to enhance faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University. These strategies address the organizational, cultural, and individual factors identified as crucial determinants of faculty participation.

6.1 Enhancing Governance Structures

To improve faculty engagement, it is essential to enhance the clarity and transparency of governance structures. This can be achieved by clearly defining the roles and responsibilities of faculty members in governance processes and ensuring that these processes are transparent and accessible. Universities should develop comprehensive governance manuals and conduct regular workshops to educate faculty members about governance procedures and their roles within them. By demystifying the governance structure and making it more transparent, faculty members are more likely to understand and participate actively in governance activities.

6.2 Strengthening Institutional Support

Providing robust institutional support is crucial for facilitating faculty participation in governance. This support can include administrative assistance, professional development opportunities, and resources dedicated to governance activities. Universities should establish dedicated support units to assist faculty members with administrative tasks related to governance, thereby reducing their workload and allowing them to focus on meaningful participation. Additionally, offering professional development programs that equip faculty with the skills and knowledge needed for effective governance can empower them to engage more confidently and competently.

6.3 Managing Workloads Effectively

Effective workload management is vital for enabling faculty members to participate in governance activities. Universities should implement policies that ensure a balanced distribution of teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities. Introducing flexible work schedules and providing course releases or reduced teaching loads for faculty members involved in governance can help alleviate the burden of excessive workloads. By creating a more balanced and manageable workload, faculty members will have the time and energy to contribute to governance processes meaningfully.

6.4 Fostering a Collaborative Culture

Fostering a supportive and collaborative institutional culture is essential for promoting faculty engagement in governance. Universities should cultivate an environment that values and encourages faculty input and collaboration. This can be achieved by promoting open communication, facilitating regular faculty meetings, and creating platforms for faculty members to share their ideas and concerns. Leadership should actively demonstrate a commitment to shared governance by involving faculty in decision-making processes and recognizing their contributions. By fostering a culture of collaboration and mutual respect, faculty members will feel more valued

and motivated to engage in governance activities.

6.5 Recognizing and Rewarding Participation

Recognizing and rewarding faculty contributions to governance is a key strategy for enhancing engagement. Universities should implement formal recognition programs that acknowledge the efforts of faculty members involved in governance. This can include awards, certificates, public acknowledgments, and opportunities for career advancement. Additionally, integrating governance participation into performance evaluations and promotion criteria can provide further incentives for faculty to engage in governance activities. By recognizing and rewarding their contributions, universities can motivate faculty members to participate more actively and consistently in governance.

6.6 Improving Communication Channels

Effective communication is crucial for facilitating faculty engagement in governance. Universities should establish clear and open communication channels between administration and faculty. This can involve regular updates on governance matters, accessible information about governance processes, and opportunities for faculty to provide input and feedback. Creating forums for dialogue, such as town hall meetings or online discussion platforms, can help bridge the communication gap and ensure that faculty members are well-informed and able to contribute their perspectives. By improving communication channels, universities can enhance transparency, build trust, and encourage greater faculty participation in governance.

7. Conclusion

This study explored the determinants and optimization strategies for faculty engagement in university governance at Shandong XX University. Through qualitative interviews with 30 participants, including 10 university officials and 20 faculty members, the research identified key organizational, cultural, and individual factors influencing faculty participation in governance activities. The findings highlighted the importance of clear governance structures, robust institutional support, manageable workloads, a supportive institutional culture, positive leadership attitudes, and recognition of faculty contributions.

The study revealed that enhancing governance structures, providing adequate institutional support, and managing workloads effectively are critical for facilitating faculty engagement. Additionally, fostering a collaborative and inclusive culture, recognizing and rewarding faculty participation, and improving communication channels between administration and faculty were identified as essential strategies for optimizing faculty engagement. By addressing the identified barriers and implementing the recommended optimization strategies, Shandong XX University can create an environment that encourages active faculty participation in governance. This, in turn, can lead to more effective decision-making processes, increased faculty satisfaction, and improved institutional outcomes. The study contributes to the existing literature on faculty engagement in university governance by providing insights from the context of a Chinese university. The findings align with previous research on the importance of transparent governance structures, institutional support, and a collaborative culture, while also highlighting specific challenges and opportunities within the local context.

Despite its contributions, the study has several limitations, including its focus on a single university and a relatively small sample size. Future research could expand on these findings by including multiple institutions and larger, more diverse samples to provide a broader understanding of faculty engagement in university governance.

References

1. Aditya, A., Tripathi, S., & Sharma, G. (2020). Faculty participation in university governance: A

systematic review. Higher Education Quarterly, 74(4), 521-537.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12261>

2. Altbach, P. G. (2015). Global perspectives on higher education. Johns Hopkins University Press.
3. Cai, Y. (2017). From an analytical framework to an ontology-based information system for university governance. Tertiary Education and Management, 23(4), 368-381.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13583883.2017.1348694>
4. Fumasoli, T., Goastellec, G., & Kehm, B. M. (Eds.). (2015). University governance and academic change. Springer.
5. Gerber, L. G. (2020). The rise and decline of faculty governance: Professionalization and the modern American university. Johns Hopkins University Press.
6. Hou, A. Y. C., Hill, C., Chen, K. H. J., & Tsai, S. (2019). A comparative study of international branch campuses: Malaysia, China, and Japan. Asia Pacific Education Review, 20(4), 573-585.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-019-09574-6>
7. Kehm, B. M. (2018). University governance in post-heroic times: Comparative perspectives. Springer.
8. Kezar, A., & Holcombe, E. (2017). Shared leadership in higher education: Important lessons from research and practice. American Council on Education.
9. Marini, G., & Reale, E. (2016). How does faculty perceive the impact of university governance reform? Insights from Italy. European Journal of Higher Education, 6(1), 28-42.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2015.1099458>
10. Neave, G., & Veiga, A. (2013). The Bologna Process: retrospect and prospect. European Journal of Education, 48(3), 325-347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12038>
11. Paradeise, C., & Thoenig, J. C. (2013). Academic institutions in search of quality: Local orders and global standards. Organization Studies, 34(2), 189-218.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840612473550>
12. Pinheiro, R., Wangenge-Ouma, G., Balbachevsky, E., & Cai, Y. (2018). The role of higher education in societal development. In P. Teixeira & J. C. Shin (Eds.), Encyclopedia of International Higher Education Systems and Institutions (pp. 1-7). Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9553-1_538-1
13. Postiglione, G. A. (2017). Education and social change in China: Inequality in a market economy. Routledge.
14. References
15. Yang, R. (2018). China's higher education reform: Institutional change and academic autonomy. International Journal of Educational Development, 59, 160-168.
16. Ylijoki, O. H., & Ursin, J. (2013). The construction of academic identity in the changes of Finnish higher education. Studies in Higher Education, 38(8), 1135-1149.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.833036>